

Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and the Marine Management Organisation

Deadline 3, Date: 21 April 2022 Document Reference: G1.17

Revision: 02

PreparedGoBe Consultants, April 2022CheckedDavid King, Orsted. April 2022

Accepted Hannah Towner-Roethe, Orsted. April 2022

Approved Julian Carolan, Orsted. April 2022

G1.17 Ver. B



Revision History

Date	Revision	Reason for issue
08 March 2022	01	First draft for review and submission at Deadline 1.
21 April 2022	02	Second draft for submission at Deadline 3.

Signatories

Signed	[Insert signature]
Name	
Position	
For	Marine Management Organisation
Signed	[Insert signature]
Name	
Position	



Table of Contents

Τ	Introdu	uction	5	
	1.1	Reason for this document	5	
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	5	
	1.3	Application elements under The Marine Management Organisations remit	5	
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	6	
2	Consu	ltation	7	
	2.1	Summary of consultation with the Marine Management Organisation	7	
3	Agree	ment Log	11	
	3.1	Overview	11	
	3.2	Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences (non-EIA topic specific)	12	
	3.4	Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes	15	
	3.5	Benthic & Intertidal Ecology	17	
	3.6	Fish & Shellfish Ecology	19	
	3.7	Marine Mammals/Underwater Noise	23	
	3.8	Outline Plan Documents	25	
L	ist c	of Tables		
Та	ble 1: Su	ımmary of pre-application consultation with the MMO		7
		osition Status Key		
		greement Log: Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences		
		greement Log: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Process greement Log: Benthic & Intertidal Ecology		
		greement Log: Fish & Shellfish Ecology		
		greement Log: Marine Mammals/Underwater Noise		
Tα	ble 8· Ad	areement Loa: Outline Plan Documents		2.5



Glossary

Term	Definition		
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent		
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).		
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and		
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating		
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection		
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea		
	Four.		
Orsted Hornsea Project Four	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm		
Ltd	Development Consent Order (DCO).		

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
DMLs	Deemed Marine Licences
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
LSE	Likely Significant Effect
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground



1 Introduction

1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers all topics of relevance to the MMO in the marine environment seaward of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS).
- 1.1.1.3 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and the MMO is set out within the Rule 6 letter issued by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) on 24 January 2022.
- 1.1.1.4 Following detailed discussions undertaken through the Evidence Plan Process, the Applicant and the MMO have sought to progress a SoCG. It is the intention that this document will provide PINS with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and the MMO and the SoCG will be updated as discussions progress during the Hornsea Four examination process.

1.2 Approach to SoCG

- 1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the DCO application. The Impacts Register (see Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, PEIR and DCO). In line with the Applicant's approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) were included within the individual topic assessments within the relevant chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES). This SoCG seeks to set out the agreements reached with the MMO on the proportionate approach to EIA in addition to other matters such as (but not limited to) the adequacy of baseline data collection, the assessment methodology and conclusions reached.
- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
 - Section 1: Introduction;
 - Section 2: Consultation;
 - Section 3: Agreement Logs; and
 - **Section 4**: Summary.

1.3 Application elements under The Marine Management Organisations remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of the MMO are Work Numbers 1 to 5, covering the intertidal (seaward of MHWS) and offshore works. These are detailed in



Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (C1.1: Draft DCO including Draft DML).

- 1.3.1.2 This SoCG covers technical topics of the DCO application of relevance to the MMO comprising:
 - Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences (non-EIA topic-specific);
 - Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes;
 - Benthic & Intertidal Ecology;
 - Fish and Shellfish Ecology;
 - Marine Mammals/Underwater Noise; and
 - Outline Plan Documents.
- 1.3.1.3 It is agreed that, whilst the MMO retains an interest in the following areas with respect to the provisions set out in the DCO and DMLs, the MMO defers to other parties for the following topics and has made little or no comment in relation to the technical assessments associated with them. Agreement logs have not been presented for these topics:
 - Shipping and Navigation;
 - Commercial Fisheries;
 - Seascape, Landscape and Visual;
 - Aviation, Military and Communications;
 - Marine Archaeology;
 - Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology;
 - Without Prejudice Derogation Case and
 - Infrastructure and Other Users.

1.4 Overview of Hornseg Four

- 1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 65 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:
 - Hornsea Four array area: This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
 - Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor: This is where the permanent offshore
 electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage
 Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
 - **Hornsea Four intertidal area:** This is the area between MHWS and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export cables will be installed;
 - **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
 - Hornsea Four onshore substation including energy balancing infrastructure: This is
 where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High
 Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing
 infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.



2 Consultation

2.1 Summary of consultation with the Marine Management Organisation

2.1.1.1 **Table 1** below summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with the MMO during the pre-application phase for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 1.3.1.1) seaward of MHWS.

Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with the MMO.

Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
07/08/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 1
			Introduction to the proposed project and project teams and
			summary, reflections, agreement and sign off on the Terms of
			Reference.
12/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Processes & Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel
			Meeting 1
			Meeting to introduce Hornsea Four, the consenting programme
			evidence plan process and the proportionate approach to EIA. An
			overview of work undertaken to date was provided, including
			scoping and approach to baseline.
13/09/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Evidence Plan Technical Panel Meeting 1
			Introduction to the project. Introduction to the TP, the EP
			process and the proportionate approach to EIA; and
			Discussion on key position papers.
03/10/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Evidence Plan Technical Panel Meeting 2
			Introduction to the project. Introduction to the TP, the EP
			process and the proportionate approach to EIA; and
			Discussion on key position papers.
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Four Scoping Report
26/11/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Scoping Opinion
			Consultation response on the Scoping Report from the MMO.
12/12/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 2
			Update on the project development activities. Review of the
			Scoping Opinion responses and discussion on the next steps in
			relation to seeking agreement with key stakeholders on the
			data to be included in the PEIR and ES.
12/12/2018	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Processes & Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel
			Meeting 2
			Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update, recap of the EIA scoping
			report and approach to EIA proportionality. Scoping opinions
			received were discussed, and necessary next steps, including
			survey and assessment work.
14/01/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Evidence Plan Technical Panel Meeting 3
			Project updates, review of responses received during the
			Scoping process. Discuss the next steps in relation to seeking
			agreement with stakeholders on the data and information to be
			included in the PEIR and ES.



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
06/03/2019	Consultation	Non Statutory	Responses to Benthic and Intertidal Technical Note.
30/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Processes & Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel
			Meeting 3
			Meeting to provide Hornsea Four update since receipt of Scoping
			Opinion. Review of responses to both the Scoping Report and
			the HRA Screening Report, and the approach to the RIAA.
			Discussion on the next steps to seeking agreement in relation to
			data to be included in the PEIR and ES. Discussion on Biodiversity
			Net Gain.
30/04/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Evidence Plan Technical Panel Meeting 4
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update and updates on
			ongoing baseline surveys. Section 42 comments received were
			discussed (including the provision of necessary further
			information or evidence, and /or the Applicant's proposed
			response). Consensus was sought on the proposed approach to
			ES (impacts to be covered in detail in the ES chapter) and what
			additional evidence or information is required. Comments on the
			Noise modelling methodology and RIAA.
25/06/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 3
			Update on project information, local information events,
			onshore and offshore Technical Panels and non-Evidence Plan
			consultation.
26/06/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Evidence Plan Technical Panel Meeting 5
			Project updates and discussion around the scope of the PEIR and
			ES. Review of the impacts register and discussion on next steps
			to seeking agreement with stakeholders on the data and
			information to be included in the PEIR and ES.
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Four PEIR
			Published for statutory Section 42 consultation.
23/09/2019	Consultation	Statutory	MMO letter response to PEIR
	response		Providing comments on the PEIR.
06/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 4
			Update on project information and overview of the programme
			to DCO application. Update to Terms of Reference to reflect
			Historic England joining Steering Group. Updates to the Impacts
			Register and Commitments Register. Discussion on the Draft
			DCO and DMLs.
06/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Technical Panel Meeting 6
			Data collection and description of the baseline environment and
			the inclusion of bottlenose dolphin in the baseline; impact
			assessment methodology in response to Section 42 comments
			regarding simultaneous piling, ramp-up hammer energy
			scenarios and Unexploded Ordnance (UXO); and the RIAA.
13/11/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Processes & Ecology Evidence Plan Technical Panel
			Meeting 4
			Meeting to provide a Hornsea Four update and updates on
			ongoing baseline surveys. Section 42 comments received were



13/05/2021	rieeting	rion statutory	
17/05/0001	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Ecology and Processes Technical Panel Meeting 5A –
			change to Order Limits.
			updates required to the draft ES documents as a result of the
			assessments); and
			(spawning timings for Banks herring and the conclusions of
			Discussion on key issues raised in the consultee comments
			Project updates including the reduction in the developable area
			Fish and Shellfish Ecology
11/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Ecology and Processes Technical Panel Meeting 5C –
			planned seabed investigations.
			and Derogation. Overview of Design Vision Statement and
			application submission programme, SoCGs and Project Seabird
			Project updates on change to Hornsea Four Order Limits. DCO
		, is ocacatory	Review of draft ES documents by the relevant Technical Panels
21/10/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 6
			Limits.
			required to the modelling as a result of the change to Order
			relation to seismic surveys, disturbance impacts, simultaneous piling and a new form of result presentation; and updates
			presentation of approach to the cumulative assessment in
			bottlenose dolphin Management Unit and assessment;
			and the change to the project programme; Discussion on the
			Project updates including the reduction in the developable area
10/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Technical Panel Meeting 9
10/05/2223		N 61 : :	form part of the RIAA.
			assessment; and Presentation of grey seal information that will
			marine mammals; Discussion on the approach to the UXO
			to the meeting; Presentation of updated HRA screening for
			Discussion on the draft ES documents provided for review prior
06/06/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Technical Panel Meeting 8
06/06/2020	Maatin	Non Statutan	DCO and DMLs.
			updates to the Impacts Register, Commitments Register, Draft
			Overview of planned seabed investigations. Project updates and
			Review of draft ES documents by the relevant Technical Panels
16/03/2020	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 5
17/07/0000	Mark	Ni Ch. i	Register.
			Project and programme updates; and updates to the Impacts
17/12/2019	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Mammals Technical Panel Meeting 7
			crossing and Smithic Bank.
			commitments in relation to the Dogger Bank Creyke Beck cable
			additional evidence or information is required. New
			ES (impacts to be covered in detail in the ES chapter) and what
			response). Consensus was sought on the proposed approach to
			information or evidence, and /or the Applicant's proposed
			discussed (including the provision of necessary further
	CONSUCCACION	Statutory	
	consultation	Charleston.	



Date	Form of	Statutory/Non	Summary
	consultation	Statutory	
			Project updates including the reduction in the developable area
			and the change to the project programme. Review of consultee
			comments on the draft ES Chapter and Technical Report and
			the key issues identified; and updates required to the modelling
			as a result of the change to Order Limits.
13/05/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Marine Ecology and Processes Technical Panel Meeting 5B —
			Benthic and Intertidal Ecology
			Project updates including the reduction in the developable area
			and the change to the project programme. General agreements
			from consultee comments on the draft ES Chapter and
			Technical Report. Discussion on key issues raised in the
			consultee comments; and updates required to the draft ES
			documents as a result of the change to Order Limits.
29/07/2021	Meeting	Non Statutory	Evidence Plan Steering Group Meeting 7
			Project updates on change to DCO application submission
			programme, SoCGs and non-statutory compensation
			consultation. Overview of geophysical and geotechnical
			investigations.



3 Agreement Log

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections set out the level of agreement between the Applicant and the MMO, for each relevant component of the application (as identified in **paragraph 1.3.1.1**) seaward of MHWS.
- 3.1.1.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or an 'ongoing point of discussion', the colour coding system set out in **Table 2** below is used within the 'position' column of the following sections of this document.

Table 2: Position Status Key.

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.	
Not Agreed – no material impact	Not Agreed – no
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the approach	material impact
taken by either the Applicant or the MMO is not considered to result in a material	
impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Not Agreed – material impact	Not Agreed – material
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken	impact
by either the Applicant or the MMO is considered to result in a materially different	
impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Ongoing point of discussion	Ongoing point of
The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further discussion	discussion
is required between the parties (e.g. where documents are yet to be shared with the	
MMO).	



3.2 Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences (non-EIA topic specific)

Table 3: Agreement Log: Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
MMO-DCO-01	The wording of the following Article	Deadline 2 Submission Written Representation (REP2-077)	Ongoing point of
	is appropriate and adequate:	2.4.1 The MMO has concerns regarding the transfer of the DMLs based on the current drafting and	discussion
	Benefit of the Order (DCO: Article	requests that all references to the MMO and DMLs should be removed from Article 5 of the DCO.	
	5, Part 2, Principal Powers).	2.4.2 This is because the intention under the Planning Act Section 149A is only to amend the method by	
		which a marine licence is obtained, it does not, of itself, make a DML part and parcel of the Order. As	
		currently drafted, the DMLs become part of the DCO by having Article 5 apply to the DMLs, allowing the	
		transfer of the whole or part of the benefit of the provisions of the DMLs.	
		2.4.3 The MMO does not consider that there is a need to have the Order make provision for transferring	
		of the DMLs in Article 5 as there is already a mechanism for transferring the DMLs under the Marine and	
		Coastal Access Act 2009 (MCAA). In the MMO's view Article 5 should be reserved to the transfer of the	
		Order and should not refer to the DMLs. The DMLs should be considered separately and dealt with under	
		MCAA, as would happen for any other marine licence	
MMO-DCO-02	The wording of the following	Submission in Lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 1 (AS-031)	Ongoing point of
	Condition is appropriate and	3.3.1 The MMO has concerns over these timescales as it is not enough time to fully assess and review	discussion
	adequate:	documents and therefore request that this is changed to six months.	
	Part 2, Condition 14 of DCO		
	Schedules 11 and 12 regarding		
	the timescales associated with		
	the submission of documentation.		
MMO-DCO-03	The wording of the following Articles	Submission in Lieu of attendance at Issue Specific Hearing 1 (AS-031)	Ongoing point of
	is appropriate and adequate:	3.1.12 The MMO has outlined its concerns regarding the use of "immaterial changes", "materially new or	discussion
	Amendments to approved details	materially greater environmental effects" within its Written Representation RR-020 sections 2.1.16-	
	(DCO: Article 30, Part 3); and	2.1.20.	
	• Part 1, Article 9 of DCO		
	Schedules 11 and 12 regarding	3.1.14 Whilst the MMO appreciates the clarity provided for the requirement of the Applicant to satisfy	
	the materiality of amendments	the MMO of any changes, the MMO's concerns remain for the use of "immaterial changes" used within	
	to or variations from the	this Article.	
	approved details.		



ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
MMO-DCO-04	The interpretations of all terms	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	within the following sections of the		discussion
	DCO and DMLs are appropriate and		
	adequate:		
	Article 2, Part 1 of C1.1: Draft		
	DCO including Draft DML;		
	• Condition 1, Part 1 of Schedule		
	11 of C1.1: Draft DCO including		
	Draft DML; and		
	• Condition 1, Part 1 of Schedule		
	12 of C1.1: Draft DCO including		
	Draft DML.		
	Updates were made to the		
	interpretations following MMO		
	comments and the most up to date		
	changes are shows in C1.1.1 Draft		
	DCO and DML Schedule of Change		
	submitted at Deadline 3.		
MMO-DCO-05	The wording of the following Article	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at
	is appropriate and adequate:	2.3.3 It is the MMO's position that the ES should be updated at the end of Examination. This is because	Deadline 3
	Certification of plans and	throughout the Examination process further information can be requested and provided by the Applicant	
	documents, etc. (DCO: Article 38,	that directly links to the conclusions of the ES, including addendums to chapters etc.	
	Part 7, Miscellaneous and	The MMO understands that this can be a large undertaking but believes it is paramount so that these	
	General).	updates can be easily identified as part of the Environmental Statement and as a Certified document.	
	In addition, Schedule 15 includes a	The MMO welcomes Article 38 to reference Schedule 15 for the Certified documents and plans as this	
	thorough list of both ES and non ES	would help with clarity at the post consent stage. The MMO will review the updated Schedule 15 once	
	documents to be certified.	this has been updated further.	
MMO-DCO-06	The wording of the following Article	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at
	is appropriate and adequate:	2.3.4 The MMO believes that this condition should be updated to include the following wording at the	Deadline 3
	Arbitration (DCO: Article 39, Part	start: "Subject to article 42 (saving provisions for Trinity House) any difference"	
	7, Miscellaneous and General).		



ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
MMO-DCO-07	The wording of the following	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	Schedule is appropriate and		discussion
	adequate:		
	Authorised Project (DCO:		
	Schedule 1)		
MMO-DCO-08	The wording of the following	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	Schedule is appropriate and		discussion
	adequate:		
	Deemed Marine Licence Under		
	The 2009 Act— Generation		
	Assets (DCO: Schedule 11)		
MMO-DCO-09	The wording of the following	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	Schedule is appropriate and		discussion
	adequate:		
	Deemed Marine Licence Under		
	The 2009 Act— Transmission		
	Assets (DCO: Schedule 12)		



3.4 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes

Table 4: Agreement Log: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary		
Environmenta	Environmental Impact Assessment				
MMO-MP-01	Existing and project-specific survey data is	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of		
	sufficient to inform the assessment.	3.2.3 The MMO believes that further information should be provided to provide enough	discussion		
		evidence on the baseline.			
		Whilst this gives a good overall evidence base, there are a number of areas where the			
		evidence base is either patchy or non-existent. These include the cable route around			
		Smithic bank and the coastline. The MMO would expect to see additional Swath			
		Bathymetry and geotechnical surveys from just offshore of the cable crossing with			
		Dogger Bank A+B area and the Holderness coastline.			
MMO-MP-02	The impact assessment methodologies used for	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of		
	the EIA provide an appropriate approach to	3.2.9 Adverse effects, in terms of coastal processes, are identified and then linked via a	discussion		
	assessing potential impacts of Hornsea Four.	pathway to a sensitive receptor (the SPR (Source-Pathway-Receptor) methodology).			
		Therefore, whilst there maybe adverse impacts locally around (say) a structure, if no			
		receptor is nearby, no adverse impact is assumed and thus is discounted. In this project			
		many of the impactors are offshore are thus discounted. However, the MMO still has			
		major concerns about the cumulative impact of cables crossing Smithic Bank.			
MMO-MP-03	The maximum design scenario (MDS) presented	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3		
	in the assessment is appropriate.	3.2.4 The Maximum Design Scenario ("MDS") has correctly used the use of GBS as its			
		worst realistic scenario as this involves large structures (conical concrete structures) and			
		significant abouts of seabed preparation. In the offshore GBS/Monopile/jacket zone the			
		MMO agrees with the conclusion except for those associated with the potential			
		changes to Flamborough Front.			
MMO-MP-04	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of		
	construction, operation and decommissioning	3.2.8 Except for the Smithic Holderness export cable area with Dogger Bank A+B export	discussion		
	are agreed.	cables there is not an adequate description of the potential cumulative and inter-			
		related impacts and effects on the physical and biological environment.			



MMO-MP-05	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	impacts are agreed.	3.2.8 Except for the Smithic Holderness export cable area with Dogger Bank A+B export	discussion
		cables there is not an adequate description of the potential cumulative and inter-	
		related impacts and effects on the physical and biological environment.	
MMO-MP-06	Given the impacts of the project, the proposed	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	Commitments outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2:		discussion
	Commitments Register are appropriate.		
Draft DCO and	Deemed Marine Licences		
MMO-MP-01	The wording of the following requirements and	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	conditions pertaining to marine geology,		discussion
	oceanography and physical processes are		
	appropriate and adequate:		
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1(c) of DCO Schedules		
	11 and 12 with reference to a Construction		
	Method Statement;		
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(e) of DCO Schedules		
	11 and 12, Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(e) with		
	reference to the development of a Scour		
	Protection Management Plan;		
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(h) of DCO Schedules		
	11 and 12 with reference to a Cable		
	Specification and Installation Plan;		
	• Part 1(6) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a decommissioning plan;		
	Paragraph 2(a) of Part 1 of DCO Schedules		
	11 and 12 with reference to the maximum		
	volumes of material to be disposed seaward		
	of Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) within		
	the Hornsea Four Order Limits.		



3.5 Benthic & Intertidal Ecology

Table 5: Agreement Log: Benthic & Intertidal Ecology.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
Environmento	ıl İmpact Assessment		
MMO-BE-01	Existing and project-specific survey data is sufficient to inform	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	the assessment.	3.4.2 The MMO believes that the intertidal survey and subsequent	discussion
		characterisation are appropriate.	
		3.4.3 The Array and export cable corridor have been characterised using a	
		combination of historical data, geophysical data, drop down video ("DDV") (for	
		fauna and sediments at all stations and Annex I stony reef under a separate	
		survey design) and grab (for fauna and sediment composition) Whilst this is a	
		sensible approach, which has been alluded to in previous consultations, the	
		MMO has major concerns regarding some of the classifications and model	
		outputs following review of the raw data.	
		3.4.13 Although the evidence gathered appears appropriate, the evidence	
		presented is insufficient to allow a decision on the project to be made.	
		3.4.24 The methodology used to obtain and gather the data is appropriate in	
		most cases and standard practices have been used.	
MMO-BE-02	The impact assessment methodologies used for the EIA provide	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	an appropriate approach to assessing potential impacts of		discussion
	Hornsea Four.		
MMO-BE-03	The maximum design scenario (MDS) presented in the	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	assessment is appropriate.		discussion
MMO-BE-04	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for construction,	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	operation and decommissioning are agreed.		discussion
MMO-BE-05	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative impacts are	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	agreed.		discussion
MMO-BE-06	Given the impacts of the project, the proposed Commitments	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register are		discussion
	appropriate.		



Draft DCO and Deemed Marine Licences

١	1	М	0	-E	31	Ξ-(D.	7

The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to benthic and intertidal ecology are appropriate and adequate:

- Part 2 Condition 13(1(a) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Design Plan;
- Part 2 Condition 13(1(c) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Construction Method Statement;
- Part 2 Condition 13(1(d) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Construction Project Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan;
- Part 2 Condition 13(1)(e) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12, Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(e) with reference to the development of a Scour Protection Management Plan;
- Part 2 Condition 13(1)(h) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Cable Specification and Installation Plan; and
- Part 1(6) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a decommissioning plan.

Ongoing point of discussion.

Ongoing point of discussion.

Ongoing point of discussion



3.6 Fish & Shellfish Ecology

Table 6: Agreement Log: Fish & Shellfish Ecology.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
Environmenta	l Impact Assessment		
MMO-FSE-01	Existing and project-specific survey data is sufficient to inform the	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3
	assessment.	3.6.5 The MMO believes an appropriate characterisation of the	
		environment for fisheries and fish ecology has been presented.	
MMO-FSE-02	The impact assessment methodologies used for the EIA provide	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3
	an appropriate approach to assessing potential impacts of	3.5.4 The MMO believes that all the potential impacts have been	
	Hornsea Four.	accurately identified.	
		3.5.10 The MMO is satisfied that the potential cumulative and inter-related	
		impacts and effects on shellfish ecology have been identified and an	
		appropriate assessment has been carried out.	
MMO-FSE-03	The maximum design scenario (MDS) presented in the assessment	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3
	is appropriate.	3.6.2 A clear and detailed project description has been presented within	
		Chapter 4. There are a number of elements of the infrastructure that have	
		yet to be determined as the project design is still evolving, however, the	
		options for the various infrastructure appear to have been appropriately	
		considered in the EIA process, for example, maximum design scenarios,	
		depending on the different infrastructure or different construction methods	
		being selected for the project.	
		3.6.3 The maximum design scenarios for impacts to fish associated with	
		GBS foundations and monopiles have been appropriately considered in the	
		EIA.	
MMO-FSE-04	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for construction,	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	operation and decommissioning are agreed.	3.6.14 The MMO does not agree with the conclusions reached for herring	discussion
		relating to the impacts of noise and vibration, the impacts of direct	
		damage and disturbance from construction activities, and the impacts of	
		temporary localised increases in SSC and smothering.	



ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
		1.1.2 The MMO has ongoing concerns in relation to underwater noise and	
		disturbance impacts to fish and marine mammals and so at this stage	
		cannot agree with the seasonal restriction timescale in the current dDCO.	
MMO-FSE-05	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative impacts are	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	agreed.	3.6.11 The MMO is currently unable to agree with the conclusions reached	discussion
		on cumulative impacts of noise on fish. This is primarily due to the lack of	
		appropriate modelling to assess the extent of behavioural effects of piling	
		on fish, and because the mitigation currently proposed (a temporal piling	
		restriction for the HVAC booster station) is not considered adequate	
		mitigation to protect spawning herring and their eggs and larvae. Please	
		see detailed comments in sections 3.7.21 to 3.7.24 regarding modelling of	
		behavioural effects and sections 3.7.25 to 3.7.25 regarding the timing of	
		the Banks herring spawning season.	
MMO-FSE-06	Given the impacts of the project, the proposed Commitments	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3
	outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register are	3.5.11 Mitigation measures "beyond existing commitments" are not given	
	appropriate.	for shellfish receptors. The MMO is content with this, given the scale of	
		proposed works versus the area of shellfish grounds, however, the MMO	
		would urge closer liaison with HFIG to determine exact locations of shell	
		fishing activity.	



ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
Draft DCO and	Deemed Marine Licences		
MMO-FSE-07	The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to fish and shellfish ecology are appropriate and adequate: • Part 2 - Condition 13(1(a) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Design Plan; • Part 2 - Condition 13(1(c) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Construction Method Statement; • Part 2 - Condition 13(1(d) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Construction Project Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan; • Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(e) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12, Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(e) with reference to the development of a Scour Protection Management Plan; • Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(g) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol • Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(h) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a Cable Specification and Installation Plan; and • Part 1(6) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of discussion
MMO-FSE-08	decommissioning plan. The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to fish and shellfish ecology are appropriate and adequate: Part 2 - Condition 18(2)(b) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to construction noise monitoring is appropriate.	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of discussion
MMO-FSE-09	The wording of the following requirements and conditions pertaining to fish and shellfish ecology are appropriate and adequate: • Condition 23 of DCO Schedule 12 with reference to a piling restriction between 1st September and 16th October is appropriate.	Relevant Representation (RR-020) 3.7.33 In principal, the MMO supports the proposed piling restriction as a form of mitigation to protect spawning herring and their eggs and larvae from the impacts of noise and vibration. 3.7.34 However, the MMO does not agree with the proposed dates of the restriction (1st September and 16th October). The MMO requests the restriction should be between 1st August and 31st October.	Ongoing point of discussion





3.7 Marine Mammals/Underwater Noise

Table 7: Agreement Log: Marine Mammals/Underwater Noise.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
Environmenta	Impact Assessment		
MMO-MM-01	Existing and project-specific survey data is sufficient to inform the	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	assessment.	3.7.2 The MMO defers to Natural England on if the existing environment	discussion
		(baseline) has been characterised appropriately.	
MMO-MM-02	The impact assessment methodologies used for the EIA provide	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at Deadline 3
	an appropriate approach to assessing potential impacts of	3.7.9 The Subsea Noise Report is informative and provides relevant	
	Hornsea Four.	details on the modelling methodology and parameters input into the	
		model. Reference is made to appropriate noise exposure criteria for	
		marine mammals and fish species. The worst-case scenario (i.e. maximum	
		hammer energy) has been assessed for monopiles and pin piles alongside	
		the most likely scenario. The modelling also considers both a stationary	
		and fleeing receptor for fish (primarily fleeing for marine mammals).	
MMO-MM-03	The maximum design scenario (MDS) presented in the assessment	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	is appropriate.		discussion
MMO-MM-04	The conclusions of the assessment of impacts for construction,	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	operation and decommissioning are agreed.	1.1.2 The MMO has ongoing concerns in relation to underwater noise and	discussion
		disturbance impacts to fish and marine mammals and so at this stage	
		cannot agree with the seasonal restriction timescale in the current	
		dDCO.	
MMO-MM-05	The conclusions of the assessment of cumulative impacts are	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	agreed.		discussion
MMO-MM-06	Given the impacts of the project, the proposed Commitments	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register are		discussion
	appropriate.		
Draft DCO and	Deemed Marine Licences		
MMO-MM-07	The wording of the following requirements and conditions	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	pertaining to marine mammals are appropriate and adequate:		discussion



ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
	Part 2 - Condition 13(1(c) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a Construction Method Statement;		
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1(d) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a Construction Project Environmental		
	Management and Monitoring Plan;		
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(d)(v)of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a Vessel Management Plan; and		
	Part 1(6) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with reference to a		
	decommissioning plan.		
MMO-MM-08	The wording of the following requirements and conditions	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	pertaining to marine mammals are appropriate and adequate:		discussion
	Part 2 - Condition 13(j) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a site integrity plan is appropriate.		
MMO-MM-08	The wording of the following requirements and conditions	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	pertaining to marine mammals are appropriate and adequate:		discussion
	• Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(g) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to a Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol is		
	appropriate.		
MMO-MM-09	The wording of the following requirements and conditions	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	pertaining to marine mammals are appropriate and adequate:		discussion
	Part 2 - Condition 18(2)(b) of DCO Schedules 11 and 12 with		
	reference to construction noise monitoring is appropriate.		



3.8 Outline Plan Documents

Table 8: Agreement Log: Outline Plan Documents.

ID	Hornsea Four Position	MMO Position	Position Summary
MMO-OP-01	The Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	Protocol is appropriate and adequate.	4.3.1 The ES is appropriately supported by an Outline MMMP, the aim of which is to reduce to	discussion
		negligible the risk of PTS for marine mammal species in relation to pile driving for the installation of	
		the Project's foundation structures.	
		4.3.4 The MMO disagrees with the approach [focusing on mitigating only the "instantaneous"	
		SPLpeak PTS-onset impact ranges]. The MMMP should focus on mitigating both the predicted	
		SPLpeak and SELcum impact ranges.	
		4.3.6 The MMO would expect the commitment to providing at source reduction measures to b	
		included within the Commitment Register but was unable to find this mentioned specifically. The	
		MMO requests that this is updated to reflect this commitment and that this is captured within the	
		DML.	
		4.4.3 The MMO notes that despite the arguments put forward by the Project, it does appear that	
		they are committed to reducing the risk of cumulative PTS and this will be appropriately	
		considered within the MMMP. As above the MMO welcomes this.	
MMO-OP-02	The Outline Southern North Sea Special Area	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	of Conservation Site Integrity Plan is	4.7.3 The MMO defers to Natural England at this stage on what should be included within the	discussion
	appropriate and adequate.	Outline SIP document.	
		4.7.4 However, the MMO highlights that if consented the MMO would require further information	
		within the SIP document to include in-combination management measures. This would include any	
		potential additional requirements the MMO believes are necessary to enable the guidance to be	
		followed and could include additional reporting requirement	
MMO-OP-03	The Dredging and Disposal Site	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Ongoing point of
	Characterisation is appropriate and adequate.	3.3.2 The environment surrounding the proposed dredge area has been thoroughly characterised in	discussion
		terms of both physical and chemical composition, based on a sampling regime conducted in 2019,	
		which is appropriate.	
		3.3.8 The ES concludes that potential impacts related to dredging and disposal operations are	
		negligible. The MMO agrees with this conclusion, based on the information provided.	



		3.3.11 However, comments are still outstanding regarding the inclusion of details relating to the sampling and analysis of marine sediment from within the proposed dredge area.	
MMO-OP-04	The Outline Marine Monitoring Plan is	Ongoing point of discussion.	Ongoing point of
	appropriate and adequate.		discussion
MMO-OP-05	The Outline Fisheries Coexistence and Liaison	Relevant Representation (RR-020)	Agreed at
	Plan is appropriate and adequate.	4.6.1 The MMO notes the Outline Fisheries Liaison and Coexistence Plan will be developed further	Deadline 3
		at the post consent stage, however, the MMO believes the Applicant can provide further detail at	
		this stage.	